



Firm Power Generation

Jan Remund, Richard Perez, Marc Perez

ICEM 2023, June 28th 2023

Technology Collaboration Programme



Contents



• IEA PVPS

- Firm Power Generation Concept
- Results of different studies
- Conclusions
- Effects and regulations and market (design)





- The International Energy Agency (IEA), founded in 1974, is an autonomous body within the framework of the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD).
- The Technology Collaboration Programme (TCP) was created with a belief that the future of energy security and sustainability starts with global collaboration.
- The Photovoltaic Power Systems Programme (PVPS) is one of the TCPs established within the IEA in 1993.
- The objective is to facilitate the role of photovoltaic solar energy as a cornerstone in the energy transition.



Current status of team

50 institutions

Science (labs and universities)

Met Services / utilities

Data providers

PVPS





4



Meteotest



Founded: 1981 Staff: 35 Berne, Switzerland <u>www.meteotest.ch</u> solar.meteotest.ch



PVPS







- Main question: how to secure (cost efficiently) seasonal and daily imbalances with renewables?
- Report published in January 2023:
 - <u>https://iea-pvps.org/key-topics/firm-power-generation/</u>
- Covering 14 studies in Europe, Australia and USA



Renewables from margin to core



Situation in Germany (2023)





PV & Wind larger than load in Germany June 10 – 11 2023

- Negative prices
- Current solution: export (as Poland and France are behind in PV)

Energy-Charts.info; Data Source: ENTSO-E, AGEE-Stat, Destatis, Fraunhofer ISE, AG Energiebilanzen; Last Update: 22.06.2023, 15:43 MESZ

Situation in Switzerland



Curtailment question will get a hot topic soon



Firm Power Generation principles

Ġ

- Assumption: storage costs are higher than production costs
- Optimisation of LCOE based on installation costs



24/365 Firm Power Generation

(A) LCOE of uncurtailed PV

(B) LCOE without any curtailment (all is stored)

30 R PV relative scale 25 □ implicit storage real storage 20 Firm Power LCOE 15 10 Optimized 24x365 firm power LCOE 5 A 0% 20% 40% 60% 80%

Proactive PV curtailment (%)

PVPS

(C) Sweet spot

Swiss Case 2050: growth & nuclear \rightarrow PV

- 1. Official E-Perspectives, zero net import ENTSO-E time series 2018-2020 scaled up
- 2. 10% net annual import
- 3. 10% renewable gas power plants, restricted import (3 GW)
- 10% e-fuels power plants, restricted import (3 GW) 4.
- 10% net annual import, 6% e-fuels power pl. 5.
- 6. 10% import, 6% e-fuels pp., agri-PV
- High prices (CHE) low prices (USA) 7.
- 8. Import / no import ("a" = autarky)



 \rightarrow 2023: new study including scenarios with extended lifetime or new nuclear and more wind energy





Production patterns (Switzerland: 2050)





Firm Power Generation: MISO

US Midcontinent System



Firm Power Generation: MISO and Switzerland







 \rightarrow 5-6% of expensive e-fuels are useful (and don't enhance the average price levels)



14

Firm Power Generation: Europe

 Example of a pan-European study from Univ. Utrecht (incl. H2 storage and Li-Ion batteries (Master thesis of R. van Eldik*)

40%

Input data are crucial (future cost levels) → ATB NREL / ENTSO-E

85%

29% curtailment optimal

Efficiency

Economic life 30 years 30 years 30 years 15 years 18 years WACC 4.4% 4.4% 4.4% 5.0% 5.0% 700 k€/MW 760 k€//MW 1945 k€//MW 243 k€//MW 1300 k€//MW CAPEX 81 k€/MWh 1 k€//MWh 71 k€//MW/y O&M 10 k€//MW/y 33 k€//MW/y 2.5% capex/v 2.5% capex/v SoCmin 20% 0% SoCmax 100% 100%











Premium: Firm / unconstrained

Firm Power Generation: La Reunion

- Example of a study on a remote Island without connection to continent
- Modelled with rather expensive PV and storage prices of 2019
- P1 scenario corresponds to 100% firm power PV penetration
- P2- substituting diesel plants
- P3-subsituting coal plants
- P4-supplying cooling demand
- P5-supplying the tertiary sector
- P6-supplying tertiary and industrial sectors
- P7 to P9-supplying constant load at 50, 100 and 200 MW,
- P10-meeting a trapezoidal day-time load peaking at 300 MW,
- P11-meeting 100% demand during daytime

only

- P12-meeting evening peak demand only
- P13-meeting firm day-ahead solar forecasts





Firm Power Generation: Conclusions



- Curtailment & Overbuilding lowers average LCOE significantly
- PV Magazine report in February 2023 («Curtailment is not the enemy»)
- Curtailment is part of the solution aside
 - energy storage
 - optimum blending of VREs
 - geographic dispersion and
 - supply/demand flexibility



Results have multiple effects on...



- Power system modelling
 - e.g. how much rotating mass is needed?
 - what kind of additional (e.g. ancillary) services are needed?
- Grid modelling

PVPS

- how much of grid enhancement costs can be reduced? (→ in the range of 50% / SFOE*)
- Optimal dynamic curtailment
 - how to regulate curtailment?
 - New rules for grid operators?
 - DSO/TSO most likely should get additional regulations (and power) for optimal curtailment



18

Effects on market design

- Effects on market regulations
 - how optimal (market) system for 100 RES systems can look like?
 - Are models based on marginal costs viable? (with 100% RES without marginal costs)
- Effects on regulation of supporting schemes
 - how to secure enough income with significant curtailment (e.g. for PPA)?
- Suggestions:

PVPS

- 1. value firm power generation, and
- 2. reflect the physical characteristics of the VREs,
- recognize that optimum firm power results from the concerted operation of several resources that cannot be treated independently (e.g., wind+storage+PV)
- 4. Switch from renumeration of energy to capacity





https://iea-pvps.org/research-tasks/solarresource-for-high-penetration-and-large-scaleapplications/

Jan Remund, Task Manager

Technology Collaboration Programme

Jan.remund@meteotest.ch



Thank you